arXiv:1401.2092v2 [math.CO] 28 Aug 2014

On the Domination Polynomials of Friendship Graphs

S. Alikhani[a,1] J.I. Brown[b] and S. Jahari[a]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Yazd University*
89195-741, Yazd, Iran

[b] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada*

ABSTRACT

Let G be a simple graph of order n. The *domination polynomial* of G is the polynomial $D(G,x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} d(G,i)x^i$, where $d(G,i)$ is the number of dominating sets of G of size i. Let n be any positive integer and F_n be the *Friendship graph* with $2n+1$ vertices and $3n$ edges, formed by the join of K_1 with nK_2. We study the domination polynomials of this family of graphs, and in particular examine the domination roots of the family, and find the limiting curve for the roots. We also show that for every $n \geq 2$, F_n is not $\mathcal{D}$-unique, that is, there is another non-isomorphic graph with the same domination polynomial. Also we construct some families of graphs whose real domination roots are only -2 and 0. Finally, we conclude by discussing the domination polynomials of a related family of graphs, the n-book graphs B_n, formed by joining n copies of the cycle graph C_4 with a common edge.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C31, 05C60.
Keywords: Domination polynomial; domination root; friendship; complex root.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, the *open neighborhood* of v is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) | uv \in E(G)\}$ and the *closed neighborhood* of v is the set $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. For a set $S \subseteq V(G)$, the open neighborhood of S is $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and the closed neighborhood of S is $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *dominating set* if $N[S] = V$ or equivalently, every vertex in $V(G) \backslash S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S. The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in G. For a detailed treatment of domination theory, the reader is referred to [31].

[1] Corresponding author. E-mail: alikhani@yazd.ac.ir

Let $\mathcal{D}(G,i)$ be the family of dominating sets of a graph G with cardinality i and let $d(G,i) = |\mathcal{D}(G,i)|$. The *domination polynomial* $D(G,x)$ of G is defined as $D(G,x) = \sum_{i=\gamma(G)}^{|V(G)|} d(G,i)x^i$ (see [2, 7, 32]); the polynomial is the generating polynomial for the number of dominating sets of each cardinality. Similar generating polynomial for other combinatorial sequences, such as independents sets in a graph [12,13,15,16,18,21,24–30], have attracted recent attention, to name but a few. The algebraic encoding of salient counting sequences allows one to not only develop formulas more easily, but also to prove often unimodality results via the nature of the the roots of the associated polynomials (a well known result of Newton states that if a real polynomial with positive coefficients has all real roots, then the coefficients form a unimodal sequence (see, for example, [19]). A root of $D(G,x)$ is called a *domination root* of G. The set of distinct roots of $D(G,x)$ is denoted by $Z(D(G,x))$ (see [4, 6, 17]).

Calculating the domination polynomial of a graph G is difficult in general, as the smallest power of a non-zero term is the domination number $\gamma(G)$ of the graph, and determining whether $\gamma(G) \leq k$ is known to be NP-complete [23]. But for certain classes of graphs, we can find a closed form expression for the domination polynomial. In the next section we will introduce *friendship graphs* and calculate their domination polynomials, exploring the nature and location of their roots in conjunction with some outstanding conjectures on domination roots.

2 Domination polynomials and domination roots of friendship graphs

The friendship (or Dutch-Windmill) graph F_n is a graph that can be constructed by coalescence n copies of the cycle graph C_3 of length 3 with a common vertex. The Friendship Theorem of Paul Erdös, Alfred Rényi and Vera T. Sós [20], states that graphs with the property that every two vertices have exactly one neighbour in common are exactly the friendship graphs. Figure 1 shows some examples of friendship graphs.

Theorem 1. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$D(F_n,x) = (2x+x^2)^n + x(1+x)^{2n}.$$



Figure 1: Friendship graphs F_2, F_3, F_4 and F_n, respectively.

Proof. The join $G = G_1 + G_2$ of two graph G_1 and G_2 with disjoint vertex sets V_1 and V_2 and edge sets E_1 and E_2 is the graph union $G_1 \cup G_2$ together with all the edges joining V_1 and V_2. An elementary observation is that if G_1 and G_2 are graphs of orders n_1 and n_2, respectively, then

$$D(G_1 \cup G_2, x) = D(G_1, x)D(G_2, x)$$

and

$$D(G_1 + G_2, x) = \Big((1+x)^{n_1} - 1\Big)\Big((1+x)^{n_2} - 1\Big) + D(G_1, x) + D(G_2, x).$$

Clearly $D(K_1, x) = x$ and $D(K_2, x) = 2x + x^2$, so by the previous observations,

$$\begin{aligned} D(F_n, x) &= D(k_1 + nK_2, x) \\ &= (1 + x - 1)^1((1+x)^{2n} - 1) + x + (2x + x^2)^n \\ &= (2x + x^2)^n + x(1+x)^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The domination roots of friendship graphs exhibit a number of interesting properties (see Figure 2). Even though we cannot find the roots explicitly, there is much we can say about them.

2.1 Real domination roots of friendship graphs

It is known that -1 is not a domination root as the number of dominating sets in a graph is always odd [11]. On the other hand, of course, 0 is a domination root of every graph but there



Figure 2: Domination roots of graphs F_n, for $1 \leq n \leq 30$.

are graphs with no nonzero real domination roots. Here we investigate the real domination roots of friendship graphs, and prove first that for any odd natural number n, friendship graphs F_n have no real domination roots except zero.

Theorem 2. *For every odd natural number n, no nonzero real number is a domination root of F_n.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $D(F_n, x) = (2x + x^2)^n + x(1 + x)^{2n}$. If $D(F_n, x) = 0$ with $x \neq 0$, then we have

$$x = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+x)^2}\right)^n.$$

We consider three cases, and show in each there is no nonzero solution.

- $x > 0$: Obviously the above equality is true just for real number 0, since for nonzero real number the left side of equality is positive but the right side is negative.
- $x \leq -2$: In this case the left side is less than -2 and the right side $-\big(1 - \frac{1}{(1+x)^2}\big)^n$ is greater than -1, a contradiction.
- $-2 < x < 0$: In this case obviously there are no real solutions x as for odd n and for every real number $-2 < x < 0$, the left side of equality is negative but the right side is positive.

Thus in any event, there are no nonzero real domination roots of friendship graphs F_n where n is odd. □

We point out that the first two cases also hold when n is even, and hence any real nonzero domination roots of friendship graphs, when n is even, lie in $(-2, 0)$, and indeed, it appears that there are always *exactly* two real nonzero domination roots in this case. We can show that there are *at least* two real nonzero domination roots for F_n where n is even: for n even, we see that

- near but to the left of 0,

$$D(F_n, x) = (2x + x^2)^n + x(1 + x)^{2n} = x^n(x + 2)^n + x(1 + x)^{2n} < 0,$$

- $D(F_n, -1) = (-1)^n > 0$, and
- $D(F_n, -2) = -2(-1)^{2n} < 0$.

Hence by the Intermediate Value Theorem, $D(F_n, x)$ has at least two real roots in $(-2, 0)$ (with neither being -1). Thus the real domination roots of the Friendship graphs are quite different, depending on the parity of n.

In fact, for $n \leq 10$, the real roots of $D(F_n, x)$ are (to ten significant digits) shown in Table 2.1. The two nonzero real domination roots for n even seem to approach limits, and we will have more to say about this in the next section.

2.2 Limits of domination roots of friendship graphs

What about the complex domination roots of friendship graphs? The plot in Figure 2 suggests that the roots tend to lie on a curve. In order to find the limiting curve, we will need a definition and a well known result.

Definition 1 *If $f_n(x)$ is a family of (complex) polynomials, we say that a number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is a limit of roots of $f_n(x)$ if either $f_n(z) = 0$ for all sufficiently large n or z is a limit point of the set $\mathbb{R}(f_n(x))$, where $\mathbb{R}(f_n(x))$ is the union of the roots of the $f_n(x)$.*

n	real domination roots
1	0
2	$-1.660992532,\ -0.1516251043,\ 0$
3	0
4	$-1.683727169,\ -0.2316175850,\ 0$
5	0
6	$-1.691458147,\ -0.2537459684,\ 0$
7	0
8	$-1.695348455,\ -0.2641276712,\ 0$
9	0
10	$-1.697690028,\ -0.2701559954,\ 0$

Table 1: Real domination roots of the friendship graph F_n.

The following restatement of the Beraha-Kahane-Weiss theorem [10] can be found in [14].

Theorem 3. *Suppose $f_n(x)$ is a family of polynomials such that*

$$f_n(x) = \alpha_1(x)\lambda_1(x)^n + \alpha_2(x)\lambda_2(x)^n + ... + \alpha_k(x)\lambda_k(x)^n \tag{1}$$

where the $\alpha_i(x)$ and the $\lambda_i(x)$ are fixed non-zero polynomials, such that for no pair $i \neq j$ is $\lambda_i(x) \equiv \omega\lambda_j(x)$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ of unit modulus. Then $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is a limit of roots of $f_n(x)$ if and only if either

(i) two or more of the $\lambda_i(z)$ are of equal modulus, and strictly greater (in modulus) than the others; or

(ii) for some j, $\lambda_j(z)$ has modulus strictly greater than all the other $\lambda_i(z)$, and $\alpha_j(z) = 0$

We use Theorem 3 to find the limits of the domination roots of friendship graphs. To do so, we rewrite the domination polynomial

$$D(F_n, x) = (2x + x^2)^n + x(1 + x)^{2n}.$$

of friendship graphs by setting $y = 1 + x$. Then we need to consider the limit of roots of

$$f_n(y) = (y^2 - 1)^n + (y - 1)y^{2n},$$

which we rewrite in a form for which we can apply Theorem 3:

$$f_n(y) = (y^2-1)^n + (y-1)(y^2)^n.$$

We set

$$\alpha_1(y) = 1,\ \alpha_2(y) = y-1,\ \lambda_1(y) = y^2-1,\ \text{and } \lambda_2 = y^2.$$

Clearly there is no $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ of modulus 1 for which $\lambda_1 = \omega\lambda_2$ (or vice versa), so we can apply Theorem 3. Case (ii) is easiest to handle first, as α_1 is never 0, and $\alpha_2 = 0$ if and only if $y = 1$, and in this case $|\lambda_2(1)| = |1| > 0 = |\lambda_1(1)|$, so we conclude $y = 1$ (and hence $x = 0$) is a limit of domination roots of friendship graphs.

The more interesting case is (i), and here we seek all y for which $|\lambda_1(y)| = |\lambda_2(y)|$, that is,

$$|y^2-1| = |y^2|.$$

To find this curve, let $a = \Re(y)$ and $b = \Im(y)$. Then by substituting in $y = a + ib$ and squaring both sides, we have

$$(a^2-1-b^2)^2 + (2ab)^2 = (a^2-b^2)^2 + (2ab)^2.$$

This is equivalent to

$$a^2 - b^2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

a hyperbola. Hence, we converting back to variable x, we have the following.

Theorem 4. *The limit of domination roots of friendship graphs is* -1 *together with the hyperbola*

$$(\Re x + 1)^2 + (\Im x)^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Figure 7 shows the limiting curve. We see that this curve meet the real axis at $-1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \approx -1.7071$ and $-1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \approx -0.2929$, which agrees well with Table 1. Also, in [17] a family of graphs was produced with roots just barely in the right-half plane (showing that not all domination polynomials are stable), but Theorem 4 provides an explicit family (namely the friendship graphs) whose domination roots have unbounded positive real part.



Figure 3: Domination roots of graphs F_n, for $1 \leq n \leq 30$ along with limiting curve.

2.3 Uniqueness of domination polynomials of friendship graphs

Two graphs G and H are said to be *dominating equivalent*, or simply $\mathcal{D}$-equivalent, written $G \sim H$, if $D(G,x) = D(H,x)$. It is evident that the relation $\sim$ of being $\mathcal{D}$-equivalence is an equivalence relation on the family $\mathcal{G}$ of graphs, and thus $\mathcal{G}$ is partitioned into equivalence classes, called the $\mathcal{D}$-*equivalence classes*. Given $G \in \mathcal{G}$, let

$$[G] = \{H \in \mathcal{G} : H \sim G\}.$$

We call $[G]$ the equivalence class determined by G. A graph G is said to be dominating unique, or simply $\mathcal{D}$-unique, if $[G] = \{G\}$, that is, if a graph has the same domination polynomial as G, then it must be isomorphic to G.

A question of recent interest concerning this equivalence relation $[\cdot]$ asks which graphs are determined by their domination polynomial. It is known that cycles [2] and cubic graphs of order 10 [8] (particularly, the Petersen graph) are, while if $n \equiv 0(mod\, 3)$, the paths of order n are not [2]. In [9], authors completely described the complete r-partite graphs which are $\mathcal{D}$-unique. Their results in the bipartite case, settles in the affirmative a conjecture in [1].

What about friendship graphs – are they $\mathcal{D}$-unique? To answer this question, we introduce

a related family of graphs. The n-book graph B_n can be constructed by bonding n copies of the cycle graph C_4 along a common edge $\{u, v\}$, see Figure 4. We'll now develop a formula for the domination polynomials of book graphs.



Figure 4: The book graphs B_3 and B_4, respectively.

We begin first with a graph operation. For two graphs $G = (V, E)$ and $H = (W, F)$, the corona $G \circ H$ is the graph arising from the disjoint union of G with $|V|$ copies of H, by adding edges between the ith vertex of G and all vertices of ith copy of H [22]. It is easy to see that the corona operation of two graphs does not have the commutative property. The following theorem which is for computation of domination polynomial of corona products of two graphs.

Theorem 5. [3, 33] *Let $G = (V, E)$ and $H = (W, F)$ be nonempty graphs of order n and m, respectively. Then*

$$D(G \circ H, x) = (x(1 + x)^m + D(H, x))^n.$$

The vertex contraction G/u of a graph G by a vertex u is the operation under which all vertices in $N(u)$ are joined to each other and then u is deleted (see [34]).

The following result is useful for finding the recurrence relations for the domination polynomials of arbitrary graphs.

Theorem 6. [5, 33] *Let G be a graph. For any vertex u in G we have*

$$D(G, x) = xD(G/u, x) + D(G - u, x) + xD(G - N[u], x) - (1 + x)p_u(G, x),$$

where $p_u(G, x)$ is the polynomial counting the dominating sets of $G - u$ which do not contain any vertex of $N(u)$ in G.

Theorem 6 can be used to give a recurrence relation which removes triangles. Similar to [33] we denote the graph $G \odot u$, graph obtained from G by the removal of all edges between any pair of neighbors of u. Note u is not removed from the graph. The following recurrence relation is useful on graphs which have many triangles.

Theorem 7. [33] *Let G be a graph and $u \in V$. Then*

$$D(G, x) = D(G - u, x) + D(G \odot u, x) - D(G \odot u - u, x).$$

We are now ready to give a formula for the domination polynomial of B_n.

Theorem 8. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$D(B_n, x) = (x^2 + 2x)^n(2x + 1) + x^2(x + 1)^{2n} - 2x^n.$$

Proof. Consider graph B_n and a vertex v in the common edge (see Figure 5). By Theorems 6 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D(B_n, x) &= xD(B_n/v, x) + D(B_n - v, x) + xD(B_n - N[v], x) - (1 + x)p_v(B_n, x) \\ &= xD(B_n/v, x) + D(B_n - v, x) + x(D(nK_1, x)) - (1 + x)x^n \\ &= xD(B_n/v, x) + D(B_n - v, x) - x^n. \end{aligned}$$



Figure 5: Graphs B_4/v and $B_4 - v$, respectively.

Now we use Theorems 7 to obtain the domination polynomial of the graph B_n/v (see Figure 5). We have

$$D(B_n/v, x) = D((B_n/v) - u, x) + D((B_n/v) \odot u, x) - D(2nK_1, x),$$



Figure 6: Graphs $B_4/v - u$ and $B_4 - N[v] \odot u$, respectively.

where $(B_n/v) - u = K_n \circ K_1$ and $(B_n/v) \odot u = K_{1,2n}$ (see Figure 6).

Using Theorems 7, we deduce that, $D(B_n/v, x) = (2x+x^2)^n + x(x+1)^{2n}$. Also we use Theorems 5 and 6 to obtain the domination polynomial of the graph $B_n - v$ (see Figure 5). Hence $D(B_n - v, x) = xD((B_n - v)/w, x) + D(K_2, x)^n - x^n$, where $(B_n - v)/w = K_n \circ K_1$. So $D(B_n - v, x) = (2x + x^2)^n(x + 1) - x^n$. Note that in this case $p_v(B_n, x) = p_w(B_n - v, x) = x^n$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} D(B_n, x) &= x((2x + x^2)^n + x(x + 1)^{2n}) + (2x + x^2)^n(x + 1) - x^n - x^n \\ &= (x^2 + 2x)^n(2x + 1) + x^2(x + 1)^{2n} - 2x^n. \end{aligned}$$ □

Theorem 9. *For each natural number $n \geq 2$, the friendship graph F_n is not $\mathcal{D}$-unique, as F_n and B_n/v have the same domination polynomial.*

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 8, we proved that $D(B_n/v, x) = (2x + x^2)^n + x(x + 1)^{2n}$. Therefore $D(F_n, x) = D(B_n/v, x)$. Since F_n is not isomorphic to B_n/v, for each natural number $n \geq 2$, so the friendship graphs are not $\mathcal{D}$-unique and $[F_n] \supseteq \{F_n, B_n/v\}$. □

3 Open Problems

The results of the previous section show that even if we can find an explicit formula for the domination polynomial of a graph, there are still interesting, difficult problems concerning the roots. With regards to friendship graphs, we pose the following:

Question 1 *For n even, does F_n have exactly three real roots?*

Question 2 *What is a good upper bound on the modulus of the roots of F_n?*

Some calculations seem to indicate that the moduli of the roots, while going off to infinity (by Theorem 4), do so quite slowly, perhaps like $\ln n$. The book graphs indeed have a more interesting formula than friendship graphs. Figure 3 shows the domination roots of n-book graph for $n \leq 30$. Questions about the real roots, the limit of the roots, bounding the moduli of the roots can be asked as well. (We remark that using Theorem 3, we can show that the limit of the roots is the circle $|x+2| = 1$ with real part at least $-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, the portion of the hyperbola $(\Re x + 1)^2 + (\Im x)^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ in the right half-plane, plus the portion of the curve $|x+1|^2 = |x|$ with real part at most $-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$.)



Figure 7: Domination roots of graphs B_n, for $1 \leq n \leq 30$.

Question 3 *What can be said about the domination roots of book graphs?*

Along these lines, there is a conjecture which states that, the set of integer domination roots of any graphs is a subset of $\{-2, 0\}$ ([6]). Now we show that there are infinite families of graphs, based on friendship and book graphs, whose their domination polynomials have real roots -2 and 0.

Theorem 10.

(i) For every odd natural number n, the only nonzero real domination root of $B_n \circ F_n$ is -2.

(ii) For every even natural number n, the only nonzero real domination root of $B_n \circ F_{n+1}$ and $B_{n+1} \circ F_n$ is -2.

Proof. (i) By theorems 1 and 5 for any odd natural number n and arbitrary graph G we have,

$$\begin{aligned} D(G \circ F_n, x) &= \Big(x(1+x)^{2n+1} + (2x+x^2)^n + x(1+x)^{2n}\Big)^{|V(G)|} \\ &= \Big(x[(1+x)^{2n+1} + x^{n-1}(2+x)^n + (1+x)^{2n}]\Big)^{|V(G)|} \\ &= \Big(x[(1+x)^{2n}(1+x+1) + x^{n-1}(2+x)^n]\Big)^{|V(G)|} \\ &= \Big(x(2+x)[(1+x)^{2n} + x^{n-1}(2+x)^{n-1}]\Big)^{|V(G)|} \\ &= (x(2+x))^{|V(G)|}\Big([(1+x)^{2n} + (2x+x^2)^{n-1}]\Big)^{|V(G)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that, for each odd natural n, $f_n(x) = (2x+x^2)^{n-1} + (1+x)^{2n}$ have no real roots. If $f_n(x) = 0$, then we have

$$((1+x)^2 - 1)^{n-1} = -(1+x)^{2n}.$$

Obviously the above equality is not true for any real number. Because for odd n and for every real number, the left side of equality is positive but the right side is negative.

(ii) Proof is similar to proof of Part (i). □

Along the same lines, we can show:

Theorem 11.

(i) Every graph H in the family $\{G \circ K_{2n}, (G \circ K_{2n}) \circ K_{2n}, ((G \circ K_{2n}) \circ K_{2n}) \circ K_{2n}, \cdots\}$ does not have real domination roots, except zero.

(ii) Every graph H in the family $\{G \circ K_{2n+1}, (G \circ K_{2n+1}) \circ K_{2n+1}, ((G \circ K_{2n+1}) \circ K_{2n+1}) \circ K_{2n+1}, \cdots\}$ does not have real domination roots, except $\{-2, 0\}$.

(iii) Every graph H in the family $\{G \circ B_2, (G \circ B_2) \circ B_2, ((G \circ B_2) \circ B_2) \circ B_2, \cdots\}$ does not have real domination roots, except zero.

We end off on a final problem.

Question 4 *Is* -2 *the only possible nonzero integer domination root?*

References

[1] G. Aalipour-Hafshejani, S. Akbari, Z. Ebrahimi, *On $\mathcal{D}$-equivalence class of complete bipartite graphs*, Ars Combin., to appear.

[2] S. Akbari, S. Alikhani and Y.H. Peng, *Characterization of graphs using domination polynomial*, Europ. J. Combin., Vol 31 (2010) 1714-1724.

[3] S. Alikhani, *On the domination polynomial of some graph operations*, ISRN Combin., Volume 2013, Article ID 146595, 3 pages.

[4] S. Alikhani, *The domination polynomial of a graph at* -1, Graphs Combin., 29 (2013) 1175-1181.

[5] S. Alikhani, *On the domination polynomials of non P_4-free graphs*, Iran. J. Math. Sci. Informatics, Vol. 8, No. 2 (2013) 49–55.

[6] S. Alikhani, *Graphs whose certain polynomials have few distinct roots*, ISRN Discrete Math., Volume 2013, Article ID 195818, 8 pages.

[7] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, *Introduction to domination polynomial of a graph*, Ars Combin., Vol. 114 (2014) 257-266.

[8] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, *Domination polynomials of cubic graphs of order* 10, Turk. J. Math. 35 (3) (2011) 355–366.

[9] B.M. Anthony and M.E. Picollelli, *Complete r-partite graphs determined by their domination polynomial*, arXiv:1303.5999v2.

[10] S. Beraha, J. Kahane, and N. Weiss, Limits of zeros of recursively defined families of polynomials, in: G. Rota (Ed.), *Studies in foundations and combinatorics*, Academic Press, New York, 1978, 213–232.

[11] A.E. Brouwer, *The number of dominating sets of a graph is odd*, preprint.

[12] J. I. Brown, K. Dilcher, and R. J. Nowakowski, *Roots of Independence Polynomials of Well Covered Graphs*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 11 (2000) 197-210.

[13] J. I. Brown and R. J. Nowakowski, *Bounding the Roots of Independence Polynomials*, Ars Combinatoria 58 (2001) 113-120.

[14] J.I. Brown and C.A. Hickman, On chromatic roots of large subdivisions of graphs, *Discr. Math.* 242 (2002) 17–30.

[15] J. I. Brown, C. A. Hickman, and R. J. Nowakowski, *The Independence Fractal of a Graph*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B 87 (2003) 209-230.

[16] J. I. Brown, C. A. Hickman, R. J. Nowakowski, *On the Location of Roots of Independence Polynomials*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 19 (2004) 273-282.

[17] J.I. Brown and J. Tufts, *On the Roots of Domination Polynomials*, Graphs Combin. 30 (2014), 527–547. doi: 10.1007/s00373-013-1306-z.

[18] M. Chudnovsky and P. Seymour, *The roots of the independence polynomial of a clawfree graph*, J. Comb. Th. B 97 (2007), 350-357.

[19] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*, Reidel Pub. Co. Boston, 1974.

[20] P. Erdös, A. Rényi, V.T. Sós, *On a problem of graph theory*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., 1 (1966) 215–235.

[21] D. C. Fisher and A. E. Solow, *Dependence Polynomials*, Discrete Mathematics 82 (1990) 251-258.

[22] R. Frucht and F. Harary, *On the corona of two graphs*, Aequationes Math., vol. 4 (1970) 322–325.

[23] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1979.

[24] I. Gutman, *Graphs with Maximum and Minimum Independence Numbers*, Publications Institut Mathematique (Belgrade) 34 (1983) 73-79.

[25] I. Gutman, F. Harary, *Generalizations of the Matching Polynomial*, Utilitas Mathematica 24 (1983) 97-106.

[26] I. Gutman, *On Independent Vertices and Edges in a Graph*, in Topics in Combinatorics and Graph Theory (eds. R. Bodendeik, R. Henn), Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1990.

[27] I. Gutman, *An Identity for the Independence Polynomials of Trees*, Publications Institut Mathematique (Belgrade) 50 (1991) 19-23.

[28] I. Gutman, *Numbers of Independent Vertex and Edge Sets of a Graph: Some Analogies*, Graph Theory Notes (New York) 22 (1992) 18-22.

[29] I. Gutman, *Some Analytic Properties of the Independence and Matching Polynomials*, Match. 28 (1992) 139-150.

[30] I. Gutman, *On Independent Vertices and Edges of Belt Graphs*, Publications Institut Mathematique (Belgrade) 59 (1996) 11-17.

[31] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, NewYork, 1998.

[32] T. Kotek, J. Preen, P. Tittmann, *Subset-sum representations of domination polynomials*, Graphs Combin. doi: `10.1007/s00373-013-1286-z`.

[33] T. Kotek, J. Preen, F. Simon,P. Tittmann, M. Trinks, *Recurrence relations and splitting formulas for the domination polynomial*, Elec. J. Combin. 19(3) (2012), # P47.

[34] M. Walsh, *The hub number of a graph*, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., 1 (2006) 117-124.